

No. 26-5308

**IN THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

ALLISON BARTON RICE
Plaintiff-Appellant, pro se,
v.
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.
Defendants-Appellees.

On Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of California
No. 3:19-cv-04250-LB
The Honorable Laurel Beeler

**PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT’S NOTICE THAT HE DOES NOT INTEND TO
ORDER ANY ADDITIONAL TRANSCRIPTS FOR THIS APPEAL**

Notice

Plaintiff-Appellant Allison Barton Rice, appearing pro se, gives notice that he does not presently intend to order any additional transcripts for this appeal.

Rice files this notice based on his present pro se understanding that no additional transcripts are necessary because this appeal concerns the district court’s written order denying Rule 60(b)(6) relief and the existing district-court record.

Rice intends to rely on the existing district-court record, including district-court ECF Nos. 288 and 291, and the transcript excerpts already filed as exhibits

with those submissions. To the extent this appeal refers to hearing or trial transcript material, Rice presently intends to rely on transcript excerpts already included in the district-court record and does not presently seek preparation of any additional transcript.

Rice does not have legal training necessary to ensure that he correctly determines whether additional transcripts are required, whether the existing district-court record is sufficient, or how the record should be presented in connection with an appeal challenging the denial of Rule 60(b)(6) relief.

Accordingly, this notice is filed based on Rice's present pro se understanding and is not intended to waive any position that may become necessary if the Court later determines that additional transcript-related action is required.

DATED: August 25, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Allison Barton Rice

Allison Barton Rice
Plaintiff-Appellant, pro se

13809 Research Blvd, Suite 500 PMB 90978
Austin TX 78750

(415) 579-8208
allisonbartonrice@mac.com